## 

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>Monique M Wills</u>. (3)\_\_\_\_.
(2) <u>Gary Edwards</u>. (4)\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,10 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dubose U.S. Patent 6.436.562.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant approved the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOME MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, ON THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATTER, TO PILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reviews did not on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action

Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPBP), Section 713.64, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete within statement is to the substance of any Societ-Sect, video continence, or higherten enterview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application without or not an applicant with the examiner was practiced in the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Foderal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be find by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessary for reply to Office action as second of n 66 1.111, 1.155, 135 U.S.C. 1321.

37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with this Palant or Tradsmirk Office should be transacted in writing. The promosal interface of oppolarists or their attorneys or agents at the Palant and Tradsmirk Office is unrecessing. The action of the Palant and Tradsmirk Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention well be paid to any alleged or all promises application or understanding in relation to which their is designeement of deads.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself

incomplate through the stature to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attention or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application for the attention or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner for soldies he hor she full do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see the legislation of the application file, unless the examiner for soldies he hor she full do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see the legislation are good in make and to correct material inaccuracies.

which bear directly on the question of patentiability.

Examinists and complete in interview Sourmay Form for each interview held where a matter of authorance has been declaused uturing the interview by meeting the approprial boxes and filting in the blanks. Discussions regarding only proviously matter, directles stelly to restriction to the proviously matter, directles stelly to restriction to the proviously matter, directles stelly to restriction.

The proviously discussed to the proviously discussed to the proviously matter to the proviously matter, directles stelly to restrict on discussions of the proviously matter to the province of the proviously matter to the proviously matter to

on the state of the returner is contributly recorded in an Estatean Area states, and appeals in the research process a grant of the state of the sta

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Senal Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
   Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
   An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the extrainer to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (If Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the assumer only remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summany Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, at of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted, 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 3 an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examinar,
- b) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record

- (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or abboate. A vertaint on highly destabled description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sudfacent if the general nature or thurst of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feel serve or might be presusable to the examiner.)
- S) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
   If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by

the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the dains are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, 'Interview Record OK' on the paper recording the substance of the intervew along with the date and the examiner's indicate.